Short communication



## Effect of microsprinkler fertigation on growth and yield of Rabi onion

M. Prabhakar, S.S. Hebbar and A.K. Nair

Division of Vegetable Crops Indian Institute of Horticultural Research Hessarghatta Lake Post, Bangalore-560089, India E-mail:mpkar@iihr.ernet.in

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2005-2006 at Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore, to study the performance of onion cv. Arka Niketan as influenced by microsprinkler fertigation using different sources and levels of fertilizers. Results indicated that crop growth in terms of leaf production, plant height, radial and equatorial diameter was not significantly influenced by the treatments. Fertigation treatments were superior for marketable bulb yield as compared to soil application of fertilizer. Also bulb yield through soil application of fertilizer increased by changing over from surface-irrigation to microsprinkler irrigation. However, bulb yield did not significantly decrease by applying just 75% of the recommended NPK fertilizers, using common or water soluble fertilizers supplied through fertigation. For achieving maximum yield, however, it is recommended to apply 100% recommended dose of fertilizers through sprinkler fertigation using water soluble fertilizers.

Key words: Onion, microsprinkler, fertigation, growth and yield

Onion (Allium cepa) is an important commercial vegetable crop of India. It is grown predominantly in Kharif and Rabi seasons in the country. The onion is grown under 0.76 million hectares with a production of 12.16 million tonnes and productivity of 16.1 tonnes hectare<sup>-1</sup> (NHB, 2010). Productivity of this crop is quite low in the country, which could be attributed to improper and inadequate nutrient management, higher disease incidence, non availability of critical inputs, particularly water, lack of adoption of new improved production technologies, etc. (Saxena et al, 2008). Over the last few years, emphasis has been laid on enhancing productivity by applying irrigation water and fertilizer. In India, it is a common practice to use surface irrigation for an irrigated onion crop. Water productivity or irrigation efficiency in surface irrigation is low (<44%) due to higher percolation, distribution and evaporation losses (Locascio, 2005). Modern systems of irrigation such as through drip and sprinklers ensure better irrigation and water use efficiency. Sprinkler irrigation system has become popular under undulating topography, particularly, for light textured soils in a variety of horticultural crops. This system is ideally suited for closely spaced vegetable crops as it maintains optimum soil moisture for shallow rooted crops like onion (Satyendra Kumar et al, 2006). For intensive and

economical crop production, and for achieving higher yield with quality bulbs in onion, the best solution is fertigation, as both water and fertilizers are delivered in time and in small amounts to growing crops through micro irrigation system (Neeraja *et al*, 1999). Fertigation also saves time and lobour, making it profitable. Experiments have proved that this system economizes use of fertilizer and water to a tune of 40-60 per cent. Information on combined use of fertilizers with sprinklers is limited in a closely spaced crop like onion in our country. Hence, a trial was conducted to study the effect of various fertilizers applied through fertigation using sprinklers in onion crop.

The experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2005-2006 at Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hessaraghatta Lake, Bangalore. Mean annual temperature range of Hessaraghatta was 27-35°C and 14-20°C during day and night with annual average rainfall of 850 mm. The soil was well drained sandy loam with an initial organic carbon (0.55%), pH (6.75), available N (160 kg/ha), available P (88 kg/ha), available K (280 kg/ha) and electrical conductivity (0.23 dsm<sup>-1</sup>). The available water holding capacity was 153 mm for one meter soil depth. Seedlings (35 days old) of cultivar Arka Niketan were planted at a spacing of 15 x 15 cm during the first week of November.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with eleven treatments and three replications. A uniform dose of fertilizer at the rate of 125:75:125 kg N,  $P_2O_5$  and K<sub>2</sub>O per hectare was applied through different treatments, along with a basal application of Farm yard manure (25 tonnes hectare<sup>-1</sup>.) In soil application treatments ( $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ), the entire amount of P, and half of N and K were given as basal dose and the remaining half was side dressed 30 days after transplanting. In N and K fertigation  $(T_3 \text{ to } T_7)$ treatments, all the P was applied to the soil as basal dose. All fertigation treatments, were applied through a fertilizer pump at weekly intervals starting three weeks after transplanting, for twelve weeks. Micro sprinkler irrigation was done on alternate days to replenish 90 per cent of the pan evaporation losses. All other recommended practices were followed for crop growth and plant protection. Treatment details are given under Table1.

 Table 1. Treatment details for onion cultivar Arka Niketan under fertigation

Treatment	Treatment details
T <sub>1</sub>	Application of common fertilizer to soil with furrow/bed
•	irrigation
T <sub>2</sub>	Application of common fertilizer to soil with
-	microsprinkler irrigation
T <sub>3</sub>	50-0-50% fertigation using Urea and Muriate of potash
$T_4^{J}$	50-0-50% fertigation using water soluble solid fertilizers
	(Specialty fertilizers*)
T <sub>5</sub>	50-0-50% fertigation using specialty fertilizers
T <sub>6</sub>	100-0-100% fertigation using specialty fertilizers
T <sub>7</sub>	100-0-100% fertigation using common fertilizers
T <sub>8</sub>	100% NPK fertigation using specialty fertilizers
T <sub>9</sub>	100% NPK fertigation using common fertilizers
$T_{10}$	75% NPK fertigation using specialty fertilizers
T <sub>11</sub>	75% NPK fertigation using regular fertilizers

\* Specialty fertilizers used were 19:19:19 NPK, potassium nitrate and calcium nitrate

Table 2. Effect of sprinkler fertigation on crop growth and yield in rabi onion

There were no significant differences among
treatments with reference to crop growth characters like
plant height, number of leaves and leaf dry weight per plant.
However, application of 100-0-100 per cent fertigation using
common fertilizers ( $T_7$ ) and 100-0-100 per cent fertigation
using specialty fertilizers ( $T_6$ ) recorded the highest (57.7cm)
and lowest (54.1cm) values respectively for plant height
(Table 2). Treatment $T_6$ recorded the highest value (10.4)
for number of leaves per plant. Though application of 100
per cent NPK fertigation using common fertilizers (T <sub>9</sub> )
recorded lower number of leaves per plant (9.6), it recorded
higher leaf dry weight per plant (5.9 g) compared to other
treatments. This may be attributed to vigorous growth of
leaves available on the plant. The same treatment recorded
significantly higher (233.9 cm <sup>2</sup> ) leaf area per plant than $T_1$ ,
$T_{3}$ , $T_{10}$ and $T_{11}$ . Application of common fertilizers to soil
with furrow irrigation $(T_1)$ recorded the lowest $(191 \text{ cm}^2)$
leaf area per plant.

Different treatment combinations did not differ significantly with respect to radial and equatorial bulb diameter among yield and yield attributing characters studied. However,  $T_9$  and  $T_2$  recorded higher values than other treatments for radial (6.59 cm) and equatorial (6.14 cm) diameter, respectively. Application of 75% NPK fertigation using specialty fertilizers ( $T_{10}$ ) recorded minimum radial (6.05 cm) and equatorial (5.76 cm) diameter. Treatment,  $T_9$ recorded significantly higher total soluble solids (11.8°Brix) than most of the other treatments except  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_8$  and  $T_{10}$ , while, the minimum was recorded with  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  (10.7° Brix). Similarly individual bulb weight (84.1g) was significantly higher in  $T_9$  compared to  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_{11}$ treatments. Second highest bulb weight (82.4 g) was

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No.of leaves per plant	Leaf area per plant (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Leaf dry weight per plant (g)	Radial diameter (cm)	Equatorial diameter (cm)	TSS (°Brix)	Bulb weight (g)	Marketable bulb yield (t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	55.5	9.7	191.0	5.3	6.27	5.92	10.7	74.7	25.8
T,	57.2	9.8	211.3	5.4	6.21	6.14	10.7	77.3	29.5
T <sub>2</sub>	56.6	9.7	210.0	5.6	6.08	5.82	10.8	78.3	30.3
T	57.3	9.7	212.9	5.5	6.24	6.11	11.0	76.7	31.1
T	55.0	9.4	218.9	5.5	6.33	5.98	11.2	81.2	31.8
T	54.1	10.4	223.8	5.7	6.14	5.92	11.3	82.4	33.8
$T_7^{\circ}$	57.7	9.9	225.9	5.7	6.43	6.05	11.0	82.0	32.7
T <sub>8</sub>	56.3	10.0	226.5	5.8	6.08	5.79	11.6	81.8	32.2
T <sub>9</sub>	54.2	9.6	233.9	5.9	6.59	6.08	11.8	84.1	33.9
T <sub>10</sub>	54.7	9.6	209.4	5.4	6.05	5.76	11.6	80.4	31.5
$T_{11}^{10}$	54.8	9.7	205.9	5.1	6.21	5.79	11.0	78.3	30.6
S.Em±	1.4	0.3	7.9	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.2	1.9	1.5
CD ( <i>P</i> =0.05)	NS	NS	22.7	NS	NS	NS	0.7	5.4	4.3

recorded with  $T_6$ , while  $T_1$  had lowest bulb weight of 74.7 g. There were significant differences for marketable bulb yield among almost all fertigation treatments, recording higher bulb yield compared to soil application treatment with furrow irrigation treatment. Sprinkler irrigation also gave higher bulb yield as compared to furrow irrigation. Treatment  $T_9$  recorded significantly higher mean bulb weight (84.1g) and higher bulb yield (33.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). This may probably be due to higher leaf area, resulting in greater photosynthetic surface, leading to higher carbo-hydrate synthesis and translocation to the sink, coupled with marginally higher total soluble sugars. Higher bulb yields obtained with fertigation compared to soil application could result in saving in fertilizer (25 %) and higher nutrient productivity. This is in conformity with earlier findings of Murali Krishnasamy *et al* (2006).

From the present study, it can be inferred that 100% application of recommended dose of nitrogenous, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers (125:75:125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) via fertigation through microsprinklers has a positive effect on plant growth characters and improves marketable bulb yield in onion. Hence, fertigation using microsprinklers can be recommended for onion to attain improved growth and marketable bulb yield in locations where it is traditionally grown under irrigation.

## REFERENCES

- National Horticulture Board. 2010. Indian Horticulture Database, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India
- Locascio, S.J. 2005. Management of irrigation for vegetables, past, present and future. *Hort. Tech.*, 15:477-481
- Murali Krishnasamy,S., Veerabadram, V. Krishnsamy, S. Kumar and S. Sakthivela. 2006. Microsprinkler irrigation and fertigation in onion (*Allium cepa*). 7<sup>th</sup> International Micro-Irrigation Congress, 13-15 September, Kualalumpur, Malaysia
- Neeraja, G, Reddy, K.M, Reddy, I.P. and Reddy, Y.N. 1999. Effect of irrigation and nitrogen on growth, yield and yield attributes of Rabi onion (*Allium cepa*) in Andhra Pradesh. *Veg. Sci.*, **26**:64-68
- Satyendra Kumar, Ashwini Kumar and Goutam Mandal. 2006. Effect of irrigation scheduling and fertigation on storability of onion (*Allium cepa*) under microsprinkler irrigation regime.*Ind. J. Agril Sci.*, 76:401-404
- Saxena, A.K, Sobaran Singh, Ajay Srivastava and Poonam Gautam. 2008. Yield target approach under integrated nutrient management for assessing fertilizer requirements of onion in Mollisols of Uttarakhand. *Ind. J. Hort.*, **65**:302-306

(MS Received 04 November 2010, Revised 15 Febuary 2011)